

CHRIS T. RASMUSSEN, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No.:007149  
RASMUSSEN LAW P.C.  
520 S. Fourth Street  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
Tel: (702) 384-5563  
Fax: (702) 550-7031  
Attorney for Defendant

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

ABEL PUEBLA,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:24-cr-0116-GMN-DJA

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO**  
**CONTINUE SENTENCING**

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Defendant, ABEL PUEBLA , by and through his counsel Chris T. Rasmussen, Esq., and the United States of America, by and through Joshua Brister, United States Attorney, that the above-captioned matter currently scheduled for sentencing on January 22, 2025, at 10:00 a.m. be vacated and continued for 60 days.

This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

1. The parties agree to a continuance;
2. Counsel for Defendant needs additional time to adequately prepare for sentencing;
3. Counsel for Defendant has spoken to the Defendant and the Defendant has no objection to this continuance;

1 4. Denial of this request could result in a miscarriage of justice;

2 5. For all the above- stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a  
3 continuance of the sentencing date by 60 days.

4 7. This is the first request for continuance.

5 DATED this 26<sup>th</sup> day of November 2024.

6  
7 */s/ Chris T. Rasmussen*

*/s/ Joshua Brister*

8 \_\_\_\_\_  
9 CHRIS T. RASMUSSEN, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant

\_\_\_\_\_

JOSHUA BRISTER  
Assistant United States Attorney

CHRIS T. RASMUSSEN, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 007149  
RASMUSSEN LAW P.C.  
520 S. 4<sup>th</sup> Street  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
T: (702) 384-5563  
ctr@rasmussenlaw.com

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ABEL PUEBLA,

Defendant.

Case No.: 2:24-cr-0116-GMN-DJA

**FINDINGS OF FACT AND**  
**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

Based on the stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing, the Court finds that:

1. The parties agree to a continuance;
2. Counsel for Defendant needs additional time to adequately prepare sentencing;
3. Counsel for Defendant has spoken to the Defendant and the Defendant has no objection to this continuance;

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

1. Denial of this request would result in a miscarriage of justice;
2. For all the above-stated reason, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the sentencing date for 60 days.

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA  
3

4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

5 Plaintiff,

6 vs.

7 ABEL PUEBLA,

8 Defendant.  
9

Case No.: 2:24-cr-0116-GMN-DJA

**ORDER**

10 Accordingly, IT IS SO ORDERED that the sentencing currently scheduled for January  
11 22, 2025 at the hour of 10:00 a.m., be vacated and continued to March 26, 2025,  
12 at the hour of 9:00 a.m.  
13

14 DATED this 5 day of December, 2024.  
15

16   
17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26